TRIAL LAWYERS

F-Mail Address: dweinstock@feldmanshepherd.com

May 12, 2009

CAROL NELSON SHEPHERD ALAN M. FELDMAN †§ EZRA WOHLGELERNTER -MARK W. TANNER DANIEL S. WEINSTOCK +† JOHN M. DODIG ROBERTA D. PICHINI THOMAS MORE MARRONE TE DANIEL J. MANN PETER M. NEWMAN T JASON A. DARIA THOMAS MARTIN † PATRICIA M. ĢIORĐANO TEDWARD S. GOLDIS T CAROLYN M. CHOPKO TEVAN Y. LIU, M.D.

OF COUNSEL

* JOSEPH D. SAMS
BARTON A. HAINES
DONALD A. KRAIN

*CERTIFIED CIVIL TRIAL ADVOCATE,
NATIONAL BOARD OF TRIAL ADVOCACY
** LL.M. IN TRIAL ADVOCACY

LLM. IN TRIAL ADVOCACY
TALISO MEMBER IN BAR
FALSO MEMBER IN BAR
SALSO MEMBER IN BAR
SALSO MEMBER IN BAR
TADMITTED IN NJ ONLY
TO CO CO CONTROL
TO CO CO CO CO
TO CO CO CO
TO CO CO CO
TO CO CO
TO CO CO
TO CO

<u>Via Facsimile - 609-989-0515</u>

Honorable Peter G. Sheridan
United States District Court
for the District of New Jersey
Clarkson S. Fisher Building & U.S. Courthouse
402 East State Street, Courtroom 7W
Trenton, NJ 08608

Re:

Ramadoss v. Ruby Tuesday's et al Docket No.: 2:05-cv-05188

DUCKEL 140.: 2:03-6V-03.

Dear Judge Sheridan:

I represent the plaintiff in the above matter, in which oral argument upon defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is scheduled for Thursday, May 14, 2009. I am writing this letter to bring two related issues to the Court's attention, as follows:

First, in our motion papers we relied in part upon the New Jersey Court of Appeals' decision in the case of *Bauer v. Nesbitt*, 399 N.J. Super. 71, 942 A.2d 882 (2008). On May 7, 2009 the New Jersey Supreme Court reversed the Court of Appeals' decision. Defense counsel and I have discussed this opinion and (not surprisingly) we disagree about its impact or lack of impact on the legal issue presently before the court, but I wanted to bring the Supreme Court's decision to this Court's attention.

On a related subject, in part as a result of this decision we have had some significant settlement discussions, and believe we may be near reaching a resolution. However, we need a few more days to try to reach a settlement, and both counsel in the case feel it would be most productive to adjourn oral argument on the pending motion for period of about 1 week.

I am therefore writing this letter on behalf of both counsel to jointly request that oral argument be put off until a date on or after May 21, 2009.

Respectfull

Daniel S. Weinstock

DSW/kab

cc: Daniel Young, Esquire (via Facsimile)